

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MIAMI COURT REPORTING  
CERTIFIED COURT REPORTERS  
1741 DANIEL COURT  
WALL, NJ 07719  
(732) 681-4776

1 A P P E A R A N C E S:

2 WITMAN, STADTMAUER, ESQS.  
26 Columbia Turnpike  
3 Florham Park, NJ 07932  
4 By: STEPHEN M. CHARME, ESQ.  
4 Attorneys for the Plaintiffs

5 KERN, AUGUSTINE, CONROY & SCHOPPMANN, P.C.  
1120 Route 22 East  
6 Bridgewater, NJ 08807  
BY: STEVEN KERN, ESQ.  
7 AND CHARLES H. NEWMAN, ESQ.  
7 Attorneys for the Defendant

8 ALSO PRESENT:  
9

10 Anthony Casella, M.D.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 INDEX

2 WITNESS DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS

3 BRIAN WARNOCK

4 BY MR. CHARME 6

5 BY MR. KERN 114

6

E X H I B I T S

8 EXHIBIT NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE

10 Warnock-2 Nine Page Document from 30  
11 American Pension Corporation  
12 Entitled "Money Purchase  
Retirement Plan"

13 Warnock-3 Seven Pages of Handwritten Notes 33

14 Warnock-4 Three Pages of Handwritten 39  
15 Notes

16 Warnock-5 Two Pages of Handwritten Notes 45

17 Warnock-6 One-Page Handwritten Letter with 50  
Attached Typewritten Letter to  
18 Dr. Criscito dated 1/7/91 from  
Brian Warnock

Warnock-7 Form 5500 for 1999, 18 Pages 54

Warnock-8 Form 5500 for 2000, 12 Pages 56

21 Warnock-9 One Pages of Handwritten Notes 57  
22 With Attached Three Pages of  
Typewritten Notes

Warnock-10 Two Pages of Typewritten Notes 63

24 Warnock-11 Two Pages Reconciliation of 69  
25 Trust Assets for 1999

1		E X H I B I T S	
2	EXHIBIT NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
3	Warnock-12	Reconciliation of Trust Assets for 2005, One Page	71
4	Warnock-13	Form 1096 for 2005, Two Pages	75
5	Warnock-14	Form 5498 for 2006, One Page	76
6	Warnock-15	Copy of Savings Withdrawal Slip, One Page	76
7	Warnock-16	Seven Pages of Handwritten Notes	79
8	Warnock-17	Memo from Brian Warnock Dated 9/14/07 with Two Page Attachment	90
9	Warnock-18	Two-Page Letter to Anthony Casella, M.D., from Brian Warnock dated August 28, 2007	101
10	Warnock-19	One-Page E-mail from Marysue McCarthy dated 7/28/06	104
11	Warnock-20	Two-Page Letter to Joy M. Mercer, Esq. From Brian Warnock dated 11/13/07	107
12	Warnock-21	Three-Page Letter to Joy M. Mercer, Esq., from Brian Warnock dated 12/6/07	108
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

LITIGATION SUPPORT

2

3 DIRECTION NOT TO ANSWER

4 (None)

5

6 MOTION TO STRIKE

7 (None)

8

9 DOCUMENT REQUEST

10 (None)

11

## 12 EXHIBIT ANALYSIS

13 Original Exhibits Attached to Original  
Transcript.

14 Copies of All Exhibits attached to  
15 All Transcripts.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 B R I A N W A R N O C K,  
2 American Pension Corporation, 1375 Plainfield Avenue,  
3 Watchung, New Jersey, sworn.

4 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. CHARME:

5 Q. Good morning, Mr. Warnock. My name is  
6 Stephen Charme. I represent the plaintiffs in the  
7 lawsuit in which you were subpoenaed.

8 Are you represented by counsel today?

9 A. No.

10 Q. Okay. Are you aware that you have a  
11 right to be represented by counsel?

12 A. Yeah.

13 Q. You've chosen --

14 A. I didn't --

15 Q. So you've chosen not to be represented by  
16 counsel. Is that correct?

17 A. That's correct.

18 Q. Okay. Have you ever had your deposition  
19 taken before?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. How recently was the last time?

22 A. Maybe 2 or 3 years ago.

23 Q. What kind of case?

24 A. About 2 or 3 years ago. It was a case  
25 involving work.

1        responsibilities are completely different. We are not  
2        the plan administrator.

3                Q.        What's the difference between the two?

4                A.        Well, you look at the plan documents,  
5        there's a whole list of requirements for the plan  
6        administrator. The company is responsible for  
7        administering the plan. We are assisting them by  
8        providing third party administration services, doing  
9        forms, preparing the forms for them to sign. We don't  
10      sign it as plan administrator. There is a major  
11      difference.

12                Q.        If I'm looking at this correctly, this  
13      form was signed, looks like on October 15th or so.

14                A.        Yes.

15                Q.        2000, correct?

16                A.        Yes, correct.

17                Q.        The two signatures there appear to be  
18      different from one another.

19                        MR. CHARME: I object to the form.

20                Q.        Can you explain why?

21                A.        No, I cannot explain why.

22                Q.        Do you know if both signatures were made  
23      by Dr. Criscito?

24                A.        I see his name typed there.

25                Q.        By the time this document was prepared,

1 APC had in its files the year end statement from  
2 Morgan Stanley for the year 1999, correct?

3 A. Year end statement of 1999. No, that is  
4 not correct.

5 (There is a discussion off the record.)

6 Q. Did you have any statements for the year  
7 2000 prior to the filing of this Form 5500?

8 A. Yes, we did. They didn't realize they  
9 were in the file.

10 Q. What did you have?

11 A. We had monthly statements that were  
12 coming in, starting, I believe it was March of 2000.

13 Q. When you looked at those statements, did  
14 it suggest a discrepancy between what was reported on  
15 Warnock-7 and what was most likely in the account at  
16 the end of 1999?

17 MR. CHARME: I object to the form. At  
18 what point in time?

19 A. That would be my question, too. At what  
20 point in time? When we did this report, no, we had  
21 not even looked at those pages at all.

22 Q. My question is had you looked at the  
23 March statement and February statement from 2000,  
24 would that have set off any kind of bells or whistles  
25 or alarms in anybody's head concerning the accuracy of

1 the report which was ultimately filed in October of  
2 2000?

3 MR. CHARME: I object to the form.

4 A. Well, for one thing, the statements would  
5 have been in 2000, in our file for the year 2000,  
6 which is how we keep our filing, so we would not have  
7 even looked in that file when we were preparing the  
8 1999 5500 forms.

9 Q. How about when you were preparing the  
10 2000 5500 report, would you have that information  
11 available to you then?

12 A. It was then in there, and it just was  
13 overlooked. We did not even realize they were in the  
14 file.

15 Q. If somebody had looked at it, would that  
16 have, at a minimum, set off an inquiry as to a  
17 possible discrepancy between the 1999 filing and the  
18 true amount in the account?

19 A. Had it been looked at, yes, it would have  
20 raised a question.

21 Q. When you started getting these  
22 statements, did anyone inquire as to why you were  
23 getting them or why you hadn't gotten them in the  
24 past?

25 A. No, the statements come in from Morgan

1     Stanley, there's a stack of them that come in like  
2     this for each month for each participant, and they  
3     just get filed away. The reason we knew they were  
4     getting these statements, they were coming in, because  
5     they were getting, they had just set up these separate  
6     accounts for the new employees, that's why we were  
7     getting statements. We saw them come in, that's good,  
8     we're getting them. No one looked at them.

9                 Q.     But you also got them for the commingled  
10    account?

11                A.     We did get them. We didn't know we were  
12    getting them for the commingled account, but yes, we  
13    did get them.

14                Q.     Nobody was hiding them from anybody?

15                MR. CHARME: I object to the form.

16                A.     They came to us.

17                Q.     Let me put it to you this way: You had  
18    all of the information you needed in your file by, at  
19    the earliest, March of 2000, and certainly by the  
20    beginning of 2001, had somebody looked, to realize  
21    that there was probably an error or some discrepancy  
22    in that 1999 5500 filing, correct?

23                A.     Had we looked at the statement, the  
24    December 31st, 2000 statement, then we would have, we  
25    would have realized it was a mistake. We didn't look

1 at it. We never received them before, thought we were  
2 only getting the new ones. Sounds easy to say, gee,  
3 how did you miss that. We did. We have a  
4 participant's report, we went through, here's the  
5 guy's name, check, check, never even noticed there was  
6 a pile of papers this thick, but, yes, had we looked  
7 at it, had we realized it was in there, we had an  
8 extra statement in there and that that was it, it  
9 would have raised a question.

10 Q. The note on Warnock-7 is attached to the  
11 statement from Morgan Stanley. Do you know which  
12 statement that was?

13 MR. CHARME: Did you mean 17?

14 MR. KERN: Is this 17?

15 MR. CHARME: This is 7.

16 (There is a discussion off the record.)

17 Q. Look at Warnock-17.

18 A. Okay.

19 Q. You got it?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. Do you know what statement that was, that  
22 note was attached to?

23 A. That's the December 31st, 2000 statement,  
24 we received that in January of 2001.

25 Q. Before filing the 2000 5500, correct?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. And before filing the annual, before  
3 preparing the annual reports, correct?

4 A. For 2000, yes.

5 Q. Is this your handwriting?

6 A. That's my handwriting.

7 Q. You say how could we miss that, correct?

8 A. Yeah.

9 Q. Why did you write "how could we miss  
10 this"?

11 A. How could we miss this. Dr. Casella  
12 found this in the file, and I said to Dominique, how  
13 did we miss this.

14 Q. This was an important document?

15 A. Well, if we had found it, as your  
16 questions previously were, it would have certainly set  
17 off an alarm, yes.

18 Q. And whatever issues we're dealing with  
19 today could possibly have been addressed and resolved  
20 at that time?

21 MR. CHARME: I object to the form.

22 A. I certainly would have asked what's this,  
23 you know.

24 Q. And I take it if you couldn't get  
25 adequate or reasonable answers to your questions that

1 you would have raised in 2000, had you not missed this  
2 document, you would have taken steps to let  
3 appropriate people know that there was a problem,  
4 correct?

5 A. If we were off seven million dollars,  
6 yes. We wouldn't have just said, well, I guess that's  
7 4,000 that went in by accident, yes. We're talking  
8 about a difference.

9 Q. What would you have done, if you --

10 A. Well, I would have first -- well, I would  
11 have asked Mario what's this. That's what I would  
12 have done.

13 Q. And if you couldn't get adequate,  
14 responsible responses, what would you have done?

15 A. If he had said mind your own business,  
16 then I think I would have -- well, I'm sure I would  
17 have gone to Dr. Casella and said something to him.

18 Q. Certainly, by this point in time, you had  
19 all the information you needed had you looked at it to  
20 initiate an inquiry in an attempt to resolve the  
21 discrepancy between the information you received as to  
22 the 1999 year end balance and the monies in the Morgan  
23 Stanley account, correct?

24 A. If we had noticed this, we probably would  
25 have raised the question.

1 Q. Probably --

2 A. Well, we would have, but unless we were  
3 examining each statement, we wouldn't have asked the  
4 question on January 1st, 2001, but when we were doing  
5 the annual report, which would probably have been  
6 October, 2001, at that point, we would have said  
7 something is wrong here and we would have raised the  
8 question, yes.

9 Q. No question about that, correct?

10 A. There's no question about that. We would  
11 definitely have raised the question.

12 Q. Are you aware of any efforts by Dr.  
13 Criscito to keep you from becoming aware of the  
14 information on the statements that you received on the  
15 commingled -- received from Morgan Stanley concerning  
16 the commingled account?

17 A. I don't think it was his intention that  
18 we receive these, but I don't know that. They did  
19 stop coming. They didn't continue to come.

20 Q. But they came for a while, correct, for  
21 about a year?

22 A. They came for about a year, and I think  
23 -- I would have to check the file, but I have a  
24 feeling that's the last one we got.

25 Q. When they stopped coming, did you inquire